

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/655,825		09/05/2003	George O. Podd	SL-101	7153	
42419	7590	07/25/2005	EXAMINER			
		RSEN & ERICKS	CHEN, J	CHEN, JOSE V		
2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 365 HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3637		
				DATE MAILED: 07/25/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/655,825	PODD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
José V. Chen	3637	

Advisory Action	10/000,020	I ODD LI AL.							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
: :	José V. Chen	3637							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	•	*							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date						
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.						
AMENDMENTS									
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.) 	onsideration and/or search (see NC		because						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41:33(a)).								
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		•							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		-	_						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .	:								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>7 and 16</u> :									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6, 8, 11-15, 17-20, 22, 24, 25, 27.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			•						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	<i>//</i>						
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	José V. Chen Primary Examiner	/\						
		Art Unit: 3637							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: It is noted that the proposed amendto claims 1, 13, 25 include new limitations that were not presented before. Further, such limitations could be read on by an hour glass shaped leg.